

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Oplink Communications, Inc.

Case No. CV 07-04582 MJJ

Plaintiff(s),

ADR CERTIFICATION BY PARTIES  
AND COUNSEL

v.

O-Net Communications (Shenzhen)  
Limited, et al.

Defendant(s).


\_\_\_\_\_/


Pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8(b) and ADR L.R. 3-5 (b), each of the undersigned certifies that he or she has:


(1) Read the handbook entitled “*Dispute Resolution Procedures in the Northern District of California*” on the Court’s ADR Internet site [www.adr.cand.uscourts.gov](http://www.adr.cand.uscourts.gov) (*Limited printed copies are available from the clerk’s office for parties in cases not subject to the court’s Electronic Case Filing program (ECF) under General Order 45*);


(2) Discussed the available dispute resolution options provided by the Court and private entities; and

(3) Considered whether this case might benefit from any of the available dispute resolution options.

Dated: 1/15/08 

/s/ Austin Na (O-Net   
[Party]

Dated: 1/18/08 

/s/ Darryl M. Woo   
[Counsel]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Oplink Communications, Inc.

Plaintiff(s),

v.

O-Net Communications (Shenzhen)  
Limited, et al.

Defendant(s).

Case No. CV 07-04582 MJJ


ADR CERTIFICATION BY PARTIES  
AND COUNSEL


Pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8(b) and ADR L.R. 3-5 (b), each of the undersigned certifies that he or she has:


(1) Read the handbook entitled “*Dispute Resolution Procedures in the Northern District of California*” on the Court’s ADR Internet site [www.adr.cand.uscourts.gov](http://www.adr.cand.uscourts.gov) (*Limited printed copies are available from the clerk’s office for parties in cases not subject to the court’s Electronic Case Filing program (ECF) under General Order 45*);


(2) Discussed the available dispute resolution options provided by the Court and private entities; and

(3) Considered whether this case might benefit from any of the available dispute resolution options.

Dated: 1/14/08 

/s/ Sylvain Griffin (Multiwave)   
[Party]

Dated: 1/18/08 

/s/ Darryl M. Woo   
[Counsel]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Oplink Communications, Inc.

Case No. CV 07-04582 MJJ

Plaintiff(s),

ADR CERTIFICATION BY PARTIES  
AND COUNSEL

v.

O-Net Communications (Shenzhen)  
Limited, et al.

Defendant(s).


\_\_\_\_\_/


Pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8(b) and ADR L.R. 3-5 (b), each of the undersigned certifies that he or she has:


(1) Read the handbook entitled "*Dispute Resolution Procedures in the Northern District of California*" on the Court's ADR Internet site [www.adr.cand.uscourts.gov](http://www.adr.cand.uscourts.gov) (*Limited printed copies are available from the clerk's office for parties in cases not subject to the court's Electronic Case Filing program (ECF) under General Order 45*);


(2) Discussed the available dispute resolution options provided by the Court and private entities; and

(3) Considered whether this case might benefit from any of the available dispute resolution options.

Dated: 1/14/08 

/s/ Chunmeng Wu   
[Party]

Dated: 1/18/08 

/s/ Darryl M. Woo   
[Counsel]